DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCES NETHODS EXEMPTION 3028 HAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACTO ATE 2003 2008

DRAFT

21 April 1956

BRIEFING NOTE ON THE CASE OF HEINE V RAUS

The newspapers have recently carried stories about a stander action in the United States District Court for the District of Maryland by one Perik Heine against Juri Raus because Raus publicly identified Heine as a Communist and a KGB agent. While both are of Estonian origin, Raus is a U. S. citizen, and Heine, having emigrated to Canada, is now a Canadian citizen. The defense is that Raus made these statements in the course of his employment by the Central Intelligence Agency and that they are, therefore, privileged and the complaint should be dismissed. A motion to this effect is currently before Judge Thomsen of the Federal Court in Maryland.

Raus has long been an important figure among Estonian emigres in the United States, and has for some time been National Commander of the Legion of Estonian Liberation, and Assignment of American Consequently, we have established a relationship with him over the years in which Raus has been willing to act for the Agency in connection with our intelligence interests. His mission was to identify, select, and aid in the recruitment of Estonian emigres for intelligence missions

This is not the final from . Paper prepared in OGC, which have

shroad, primarily into the Soviet Union. This mission he accomplished effectively ever the years.

In 1963 Berik Heine came to the United States to show an Estonian nationalist film and to develop contacts in Estonian emigre organizations. Hoine had first come to our attention in 1957 when he was released from a Soviet prison camp and travelled to Germany, allegedly as a returning German Por. At that time he had been interrogated by Agency officers, but his story was not given credence. Thus, when Hoine visited the United States in 1963 we conducted an intensive analysis of all information that could be developed on Heine which was available from a number of sources. This analysis, coupled with our knowledge of other similar cases and of the techniques of penetration and deception employed by the KGB, led our experts in counterintelligence to the firm conclusion that Heine was a controlled agent of the KGB. His efforts to establish himself with Estonian omigre groups in the United States, therefore, became of great concern to us, not only because of the problem of impairment of our intelligence effort but because of personal danger to members of the erigre groups who cooperated with us. Accordingly, it was determined that the distonian emigro groups should be



3'-

appropriately warned of our conclusions as to Heine's control by the KGS and the obvious dangers involved.

Raus was the obvious emissary for this purpose and was briefed on our analysis and conclusions and instructed to warn appropriate persons in the emigre groups. He did so and inevitably the word got back to Hoine, who then announced that he intended to sue for slander.

Again we believe this is consistent with KGB policy and techniques because of its obvious divisive influence within the Estonian groups.

Once the complaint was brought, it became clear after careful study that our emissary was in serious personal trouble as a result of his action taken under our instructions. Accordingly, with the full cooperation of the Department of Justice affidavits were filed on behalf of the defendant, Raus, to establish that when making the statements concerning lieine he was acting within the scope of his employment and the statements were, therefore, privileged. Since the case is presently before the court for decision, we have felt that it would be completely insperopriate for us to comment further publicly at this time on the merits of the case or the newspaper stories concerning the case.